UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,963	09/07/2005	Daniel Lecomte	27592-01110-US1 2056	
	7590 10/15/201 SOVE LODGE & HUT	EXAMINER		
1875 EYE STR SUITE 1100	EET, N.W.	SAINT CYR, JEAN D		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20006	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2425	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summers		Applicati	cation No. Applicant(s)				
		10/542,9	53	LECOMTE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary				Art Unit			
		JEAN Du	clos SAINT CYR	2425			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF The FR 1.136(a). In no even. eriod will apply and we statute, cause the approximation.	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
-	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b)	<u>23 <i>July 2010</i>.</u> This action is r	on-final				
′=	<i>'—</i>			secution as to the	e merits is		
ا ا	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 47-49 and 51-92 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 47-49 and 51-92 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from co	nsideration.				
Applicati	on Papers						
10) 🖾	The specification is objected to by the Example of Example of the drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2008</u> . Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	<u>5</u> is/are: a)⊠ a the drawing(s) b prrection is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	t (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	~1	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/542,963

Art Unit: 2425

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Page 2

This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 07/23/2010. Claims 47-49 and 51-92 are still pending in the current application. This action is made final.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 47-49, 51-92 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited references did not disclose generating complementary information including modified information from the original stream, the complementary information also including one or more functions for reconstructing the original stream with the modified information, wherein a respective one of the one or more functions contains at least one instruction relating data associated with the complementary information and/or the modified stream and one or more operators associated with reconstructing the original stream from the modified stream; and separately transmitting the modified stream and the complementary information to an addressee equipment for reconstruction of the original stream from the modified stream and the complementary information, wherein the reconstruction is adaptive and progressive as a function of information associated with a digital profile of the addressee equipment provided in the complementary information. Finally, the applicant argues about the double patenting rejection.

However, Ottesen et al show in fig.1 and disclose that Module 120 modifies data from a base-domain source to add one or more markers for later restoration of the original image, col.4, lines 65-67, col.5, lines 1-9. Also, fig.1 shows that the modified stream and the complementary data are outputted from the transform module 130 at ports 131 and 132 respectively. By saying calculating the complementary data from the restore module means that the receiver identifies the data marker and padding and takes the inverse transform of the modified stream to convert it to base domain.

And Ottesen et al disclose the base-domain value can be represented as a highvalued delta function at the marker location. This can be implemented by adding the Application/Control Number: 10/542,963

Art Unit: 2425

argument value of the delta function to each of the components of the image data in the transform domain,col.10, lines 12-40;col.11, lines 55-67; col.12, lines 1-19; this information proves that there is function associated with the transformed data.

Page 3

And Ottesen et al disclose the marker may be sent in base-domain form as separate data. Calculating and inserting the marker may occur when and where the image data is generated, or at the time and location that the image is reconstructed, col.10, lines 33-40; by generating the marker at the time of the reconstruction of the image means that the modified stream and the complementary information are transmitted separately.

Finally, Malvar et al disclose includes a method for decompressing a compressed bitstream by using adaptive run-length decoding to obtain transform coefficients from the compressed bitstream, rearranging the coefficients into their original order, and using an inverse DCT transform and an inverse LBT to obtain the reconstructed image data from the decoded coefficients,0016; allows progressive image reconstruction, both in resolution and in fidelity, with a fully embedded bitstream,0042; an image that has been encoded at a certain fidelity may be decoded at a lower fidelity, thereby allowing, for example, a server to distribute different versions of the same encoded image to different clients having different capabilities,0101; the signal was reconstructed according to the capability of the addressee equipment like resolution and storage capacity and the resolution of the screen and storage capacity of the equipment of the user represent the digital profile of the addressee equipment. The double patenting rejection is removed. As a result, this action is made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a

whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 47-49, 51-52, 54, 57-58 62-64, 70-75, 78, 91-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin et al in view of Ottesen further in view of Malvar et al, US No. 20040234147.

Re claim 47, Shin et al disclose process for secured distribution of at least one digital fixed picture in an original stream, wherein the picture includes sequences of data that respectively contain a part of information of the picture, the original stream being in a nominal compressed format based on wavelets and the original stream including wavelet coefficients, the process comprising (see fig.2, host image to be transmitted; see fig.2, element 202, wavelet transform portion):

modifying the original stream by modifying the wavelet coefficients to produce a modified stream having the same nominal block format as the original stream (see fig.2, replaced MXM wavelet coefficient); and

But did not explicitly disclose generating complementary information including modified information from the original stream, the complementary information also including one or more functions for reconstructing the original stream with the modified information; wherein a respective one of the one or more functions contains at least one instruction relating data associated with the complementary information and/or the modified stream and one or more operators associated with reconstructing the original stream from the modified stream; and separately transmitting the modified stream and the complementary information to an addressee equipment for reconstruction;

the original reconstructed stream from the modified stream and the complementary information, wherein the reconstruction is adaptive and progressive as a function of

information associated with a digital profile of the addressee equipment provided in the complementary information.

However, Ottesen et al disclose generating complementary information including modified information from the original stream, the complementary information also including one or more functions for reconstructing the original stream with the modified information; wherein a respective one of the one or more functions contains at least one instruction relating data associated with the complementary information and/or the modified stream and one or more operators associated with reconstructing the original stream from the modified stream(see fig.1; Module 120 modifies data from a basedomain source to add one or more markers for later restoration of the original image, module 130 may send only the magnitude component—or a derivative such as magnitude squared—as data 131 representing the original image, col.4, lines 65-67, col.5, lines 1-9. Also, fig.1 shows that the modified stream and the complementary data are outputted from the transform module 130 at ports 131 and 132 respectively; col.10, lines 12-40; col.11, lines 55-67; col.12, lines 1-19; this information proves that there is function associated with the transformed data); and

separately transmitting the modified stream and the complementary information to addressee equipment for reconstruction (the marker may be sent in base-domain form as separate data. Calculating and inserting the marker may occur when and where the image data is generated, or at the time and location that the image is reconstructed, col.10, lines 33-40;by generating the marker at the time of the reconstruction of the image means that the modified stream and the complementary information are transmitted separately).

Comment [btp1]: Limitation not met. This interpretation is not reasonable.

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ottesen into the invention of Shin for the purpose of associating functions with the modified stream during transmission.

And Malvar et al disclose the original reconstructed stream from the modified stream and the complementary information, wherein the reconstruction is adaptive and progressive as a function of information associated with a digital profile of the addressee equipment provided in the complementary information (0016; allows progressive image reconstruction, both in resolution and in fidelity, with a fully embedded bitstream,0042; an image that has been encoded at a certain fidelity may be decoded at a lower fidelity, thereby allowing, for example, a server to distribute different versions of the same encoded image to different clients having different capabilities,0101).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Malvar into the invention of Shin as modified by Ottesen for the purpose of using data related to user profile in the reconstruction of the modified content.

Re claim 48, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the modifying comprises producing a modified main stream and the complementary information permitting reconstruction of the original stream by a decoder; the generating comprises determining a subset of the complementary information as a function of information derived from a digital profile of the addressee equipment; and the transmitting comprises transmitting to the addressee equipment a-the subset of the complementary information.

However, Ottesen et al disclose the generating comprises determining a subset of the complementary information as a function of information derived from a digital profile of the addressee equipment(see fig.1, module 120 and module 130; col.10, lines 12-40;col.11, lines 55-67; col.12, lines 1-19; this information proves that there is function associated with the transformed data).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ottesen into the invention of Shin for the purpose of generating complementary information during transformation.

And Malvar et al disclose wherein the modifying comprises producing a modified main stream and the complementary information permitting reconstruction of the original stream by a decoder and the transmitting comprises transmitting to the addressee equipment a-the subset of the complementary information(0016; an image that has been encoded at a certain fidelity may be decoded at a lower fidelity, thereby allowing, for example, a server to distribute different versions of the same encoded image to different clients having different capabilities,0101).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Malvar into the invention of Shin as modified by Ottesen for the purpose of using data related to user profile to reconstruct the original stream.

As claim 49, the claimed "wherein the modifying comprises producing a modified main stream and complementary information permitting reconstruction of the original stream by a decoder..., the transmitting comprises transmitting to the addressee equipment a-the subset of the complementary information..." is composed of the same structural elements as previously discussed with respect to the rejection of 48.

Re claim 51, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the original stream has a property of scalability in resolution.

However, Malvar et al disclose wherein the original stream has a property of scalability in resolution (This maintains the scalability in fidelity and resolution, 0062).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Malvar into the invention of Shin as modified by Ottesen for the purpose of transforming the original image in different resolution.

Re claim 52, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the original stream has a property of spatial scalability.

However, Malvar et al disclose wherein the original stream has a property of spatial scalability (spatial domain, 0045).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Malvar into the invention of Shin as modified by Ottesen for the purpose of filtering the transformation of the original image.

Re claim 54, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the original stream has a property of spectral scalability.

However, Malvar et al disclose wherein the original stream has a property of spectral scalability (a frequency domain representation, 0061)

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Malvar into the invention of Shin as modified by Ottesen for the purpose of using frequency domain for analyzing the transformation of the original image.

Re claim 57, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the modified main stream and the complementary information together in real time.

However, Ottesen et al disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the modified main stream and the complementary information together in real time (see fig.1, modules 120 and 130).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ottesen into the invention of Shin for the purpose of increasing security and limiting delay in reproduction.

Re claim 58, is met as previously discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 51.

Re claim 62, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein generating complementary information includes generating complementary information that comprises at least one digital routine suitable for executing a function.

However, Ottesen et al disclose wherein generating complementary information includes generating complementary information that comprises at least one digital routine suitable for executing a function (a computer-readable storage or communication medium, 0027).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ottesen into the invention of Shin for the purpose of associating routine with complementary information.

Re claim 63, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the functions to addressee equipment addressees which functions are customized for the addressee equipment as a function of a session.

However, Malvar et al disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the functions to addressee equipment addressees which functions are customized for the addressee equipment as a function of a session (an image that has been encoded

at a certain fidelity may be decoded at a lower fidelity, thereby allowing, for example, a server to distribute different versions of the same encoded image to different clients having different capabilities, 0101).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Malvar into the invention of Shin for the purpose of allowing users to receive specific data according to the capacity of their device.

Re claim 64, Shin et al disclose wherein the generating further comprises encrypting the complementary information for addressee equipment as a function of a session (coefficient components of the signature image 4 are encoded by a separate encryption encoder 6 that controls the encryption operation, col.1, lines 47-49).

Re claim 70, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the determining comprises updating information contained in the subset as a function of behavior of the addressee equipment during connection to a server or as a function of habits or as a function of data communicated by a third party.

However, Malvar et al disclose wherein the determining comprises updating information contained in the subset as a function of behavior of the addressee equipment during connection to a server or as a function of habits or as a function of data communicated by a third party (The vector sflg controls the allocation of bits to x1, and sflg is first initialized to all zeros, and then updated after each bit plane is encoded. Thus, the decoder can easily track the changes, 0081).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Ottesen in updating any data, as taught by Malvar, for the purpose of keep track of all modifications of data.

Re claim 71, is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 70.

Re claim 72, Shin et al disclose further comprising analog/digital converting data in a structured format, which is applied to an analog signal (see fig.2, compression of image).

Re claim 73, Shin et al disclose further comprising transcoding a digital stream from any format to a format with scalability properties (see fig.2, wavelet coefficients).

Re claim 74, Shin et al disclose wherein a plurality of digital fixed pictures constitute a succession of pictures (see fig.2, element 204; a dot-type image at regular interval, col.5, lines 30-32; that means a plurality of images).

Re claim 75, Shin et al disclose wherein the modifying comprises applying different modifications of the data sequences for at least two pictures of a succession of pictures (50% of upper significant wavelet coefficients are selected among M.times.M wavelet coefficients, and N.times.N wavelet coefficients are selected among 50% of upper significant wavelet coefficients, in accordance with the predetermined rule, for example, at regular intervals, col.5, lines 48-53).

Re claim 78, Shin et al disclose wherein the process is performed without loss of picture quality (see fig.2, restore host image).

Re claim 91, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose a plurality of devices provided with respective descrambling circuits, means for recording respective a digital profiles of corresponding instances of the address equipment, and means for analyzing at least one digital profile of at least one instance of addressee equipment to which the modified stream is transmitted, which analyzing means controls the nature of complementary information transmitted to-the addressee equipment.

However, Malvar et al disclose a plurality of devices provided with respective descrambling circuits, means for recording respective a digital profiles of corresponding instances of the address equipment, and means for analyzing at least one digital profile of at least one instance of addressee equipment to which the modified stream is transmitted, which analyzing means controls the nature of complementary information transmitted to-the addressee equipment (see fig.1; a server to distribute different versions of the same encoded image to different clients having different capabilities,0101).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Ottesen in introducing specific information regarding a user, as taught by Malvar, for the purpose of allowing only authorized users to get access to contents.

Re claim 92, Shin et al did not disclose further comprising determining wherein a respective level of the complementary information for at least one instance of addressee equipment based upon a state of a profile associated with the addressee equipment.

However, Malvar et al disclose further comprising determining wherein a respective level of the complementary information for at least one instance of addressee equipment based upon a state of a profile associated with the addressee equipment (To recover the original order for decoding purposes, the output of the reordering algorithm is read in the same manner in which it was written. All that is required is knowledge of the size of the original matrix, and the number of levels that were written, 0097).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Ottesen in introducing specific information regarding a user, as taught by Malvar, for the purpose of modifying

the quality of the content according to information associated with the equipment of the user.

Claims 53, 59-61, 76-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin et al in view of Ottesen further in view of Malvar et al and further in view of Zhang, US No.7321625.

Re claim 53, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the original stream has a property of qualitative scalability.

However, Zhang et al disclose wherein the original stream has a property of qualitative scalability (subband MCTF coders can easily support quality scalability by using bitplane coding, col.16, lines 17-18).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Zhang into the invention of Shin as modified Malvar and Ottesen for the purpose of limiting degradation in the transformation of the original image.

Re claim 59, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein determining a subset of the complementary information includes determining the subset based on properties of granular scalability of the complementary information.

However, Zhang et al disclose wherein determining a subset of the complementary information includes determining the subset based on properties of granular scalability of the complementary information(fine granularity scalability can also be achieved by incorporating bit-plane coding techniques,col.16, lines 52-53).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Zhang into the invention of Shin as

modified Malvar and Ottesen for the purpose of achieving a constant quality in frame level and in GOF level.

Page 14

Re claim 60, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose further comprising determining a quantity of information contained in the subset based on corresponds to a level of scalability determined as a function of a profile of the addressee equipment.

However, Zhang et al disclose further comprising determining a-quantity of information contained in the subset based on corresponds to a level of scalability determined as a function of a profile of the addressee equipment (Multiresolution video representation information may be provided by control information received from the video source, or by control information received over the channel 1406 from the video decoder, col.3, lines 42-46).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Zhang into the invention of Shin as modified by Malvar and Ottesen for the purpose of allowing user to receive content according to the resolution of their device.

Re claim 61, is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 60.

Re claim 76, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the applying different modifications of data sequences of a picture of a succession of pictures include modifying the data sequences of preceding pictures in temporal order of the succession based on properties of spatial and qualitative scalability of transformations in wavelets.

However, Zhang et al disclose wherein the applying different modifications of data sequences of a picture of a succession of pictures include modifying the data sequences of preceding pictures in temporal order of the succession based on properties of spatial and qualitative scalability of transformations in wavelets(temporal

direction,col.2, line 19; video representation of support of scalable motion vectors for different combinations of spatial scalability and temporal scalability,col.2, lines 27-29; easily support quality scalability by using bitplane coding, col.16, lines 17-18).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in introducing temporal order and properties of spatial and qualitative scalability, as taught by Zhang, for the purpose limiting deterioration in transformation of data.

Re claim 77, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein granular scalability of the complementary information is based on qualitative, spatial and in-resolution scalabilities of streams stemming from a transformation in wavelets of the pictures.

However, Zhang et al disclose wherein granular scalability of the complementary information is based on qualitative, spatial(col.2, lines 27-29; easily support quality scalability by using bitplane coding, col.16, lines 17-18) and in-resolution scalabilities of streams stemming from a transformation in wavelets of the pictures(fine granularity scalability can also be achieved by incorporating bit-plane coding techniques,col.6, lines 50-53).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in introducing temporal order and properties of spatial and qualitative scalability, as taught by Zhang, for the purpose limiting deterioration in transformation of data.

Claims 79-85, 87-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin et al in view of Ottesen et al. further in view of Malvar and further in view of Stone, US No.20020118859.

Re claim 79, shin et al did not explicitly disclose further comprising inserting, during reconstruction of the original stream, an indelible and imperceptible trace-into the reconstructed original stream, which trace carries a non-ambiguous identifier.

Page 16

However, Stone et al disclose further comprising inserting; during reconstruction of the original stream, an indelible and imperceptible trace-into the reconstructed original stream, which trace carries a non-ambiguous identifier (ensure that the mark is imperceptible where an imperceptible mark is desired. Those properties may be incompatible. Also, when material has been watermarked, it is desirable to be able to remove the mark. However, embedding a watermark in the material in such a way as to make difficult unauthorized removal may also have the consequence that the watermark is difficult to remove by an authorized person, 0010).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in introducing imperceptible trace during reconstruction, as taught by Stone, for the purpose of increasing security against unauthorized users.

Re claim 80, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose further comprising inserting, after reconstruction of the original stream, an indelible and imperceptible trace into the reconstructed original stream which trace carries a non-ambiguous identifier.

However, Stone et al disclose further comprising inserting, after reconstruction of the original stream, an indelible and imperceptible trace into the reconstructed original stream which trace carries a non-ambiguous identifier(Robust watermarks are useful to trace the provenance of material which is processed in some way either in an attempt to remove the mark,0008).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar in view of Ottesen in introducing imperceptible trace after reconstruction, as taught by Stone, for the purpose of identify the owner of the material.

Re claim 81, Shin et al disclose further comprising detecting wherein the indelible and imperceptible trace by an-software that analyzes reconstructed original stream.

However, Stone et al disclose further comprising detecting wherein the indelible and imperceptible trace by an-software that analyzes reconstructed original stream(detects and removes the watermark to produce a substantially restored image, 0163).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in introducing software for analyzing content, as taught by Stone, for the purpose of limiting degradation of image during reconstruction.

Re claim 82, Shin et al disclose further comprising authenticating a user with wherein the non-ambiguous identifier.

However, Stone et al disclose further comprising authenticating a user with wherein the non-ambiguous identifier(Robust watermarks are useful to trace the provenance of material which is processed in some way either in an attempt to remove the mark or to effect legitimate processing, 0008).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in introducing non-ambiguous identifier, as taught by Stone, for the purpose of limiting access to unauthorized users.

Re claim 83, Shin et al did explicitly disclose further comprising authenticating addressee equipment on which an reconstruction algorithm for reconstructing the original stream was executed with wherein the non-ambiguous.

However, Stone et al disclose further comprising authenticating addressee equipment on which an reconstruction algorithm for reconstructing the original stream was executed with wherein the non-ambiguous (user code, 0232).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in introducing non-ambiguous identifier, as taught by Stone for the purpose of authenticating equipment with respect to user code.

Re claim 84, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose further comprising identifying a session opened by a user during the course of which reconstitution of the original stream is executed with the non-ambiguous identifier.

However, Stone et al disclose further comprising identifying a session opened by a user during the course of which reconstitution of the original stream is executed with the non-ambiguous identifier(An Instance number is primarily used to identify associated metadata related to any particular instance of a clip,0212).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in introducing non-ambiguous identifier, as taught by Stone, for the purpose of identifying a particular clip.

Re claim 85, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose further comprising realizing a scrambling session and descrambling session are realized under control of a secured server disguised as a selected third party.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,963

Art Unit: 2425

However, Malvar et al disclose further comprising realizing a scrambling session and descrambling session are realized under control of a secured server disguised as a selected third party (a server to distribute different versions of the same encoded image to different clients having different capabilities, 0101).

Page 19

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Stone further in view of Ottesen in introducing a secured server, as taught by Malvar, for the purpose of controlling distribution of data.

Re claim 87, is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 80 and 85. Re claim 88, is as previously discussed with respect to claim 79.

Claims 86, 89, 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin et al in view of Ottesen et al. further in view of Malvar and further in view of Stone and Sasaki, US No.6735699.

Re claim 86, shin et al did not explicitly disclose further comprising identifying the session by a secured server with a register that is configured to store session: an identifier associated with session, an identifier of a user or identifier of addressee equipment, and an identifier associated with subject matter of the session and an identifier representing a date-time group.

However, Sasaki et al disclose further comprising identifying the session by a secured server with a register that is configured to store session: an identifier associated with session, an identifier of a user or identifier of addressee equipment, and an identifier associated with subject matter of the session and an identifier representing a date-time group(col.2, lines 48-51).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Stone further in view of Ottesen and Malvar in introducing a secured server, as taught by Sasaki, for the purpose of increasing security against unauthorized users.

Re claim 89, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein a stream reconstituted by descrambling exists in a usable form only if a digital signature extracted during an authenticity control is identical to a signature stored on a secured server.

However, Sasaki et al disclose wherein a stream reconstituted by descrambling exists in a usable form only if a digital signature extracted during an authenticity control is identical to a signature stored on a secured server (see fig.4).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Stone further in view of Ottesen and Malvar in introducing a secured server, as taught by Sasaki, for the purpose of increasing security against unauthorized users.

Re claim 90, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the process is applied to an audiovisual digital stream that is coded according to a proprietary norm or standard.

However, Sasaki et al disclose wherein the process is applied to an audiovisual digital stream that is coded according to a proprietary norm or standard (see fig.4, element 112).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Stone further in view of Ottesen and Malvar in introducing a secured server, as taught by Sasaki, for the purpose of protecting right of owners.

Claims 55-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin et al in view of Ottesen et al further in view of Malvar and further in view of Kim, US No.20020133830.

Re claim 55, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the modified main stream onto the addressee equipment prior to transmitting the complementary information to the addressee equipment.

However, Kim et al disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the modified main stream onto the addressee equipment prior to transmitting the complementary information to the addressee equipment (the system, either in whole or in parts, preloads a selection of videos on a subscriber's set top box based on a usage profile, 0030).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kim into the invention of Shin as modified Malvar and Ottesen for the purpose of limiting congestion of bandwidth during transmission and increasing security in reproducing contents.

Re claim 56, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting part of the modified main stream onto the addressee equipment prior to transmitting the complementary information to the addressee equipment.

However, Kim et al disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting part of the modified main stream onto the addressee equipment prior to transmitting the complementary information to the addressee equipment (It is also possible to preload, push to the STB 140 several minutes of the first chapter of each video, 0131).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Kim into the invention of Shin as modified Malvar and Ottesen for the purpose of limiting congestion of bandwidth during transmission and shortage of storage.

Claims 65-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin et al in view of Ottesen et al further in view of Malvar and further in view of Kamiya, US No.7421082.

Re claim 65, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the generating further comprises subdividing the complementary information into at least two subparts.

However, Kamiya et al disclose wherein the generating further comprises subdividing the complementary information into at least two subparts(see fig.1; plurality of pieces of key information are generated on the basis of an encryption key specific to each digital data item to be delivered, abstract).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in introducing plurality of pieces of key information, as taught by Kamiya, for the purpose of making the system safer against unauthorized users.

Re claim 66, shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the subparts by different media.

However, Kamiya et al disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the subparts by different media (see fig.1, elements 4 and 5).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of

Ottesen in introducing transmitting plurality of pieces of key information in different media, as taught by Kamiya, for the purpose of making the system safer against unauthorized users.

Re claim 67, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the subparts by the same medium.

However, Kamiya et al disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the subparts by the same medium (see fig.8; key information is delivered over a network, that network can be physically the same as that for content transmission. In that case, however, content and key information are not delivered simultaneously; preferably they are transmitted at different times, col.4, lines 18-22).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in transmitting subparts by the same medium, as taught by Kamiya, for the purpose of limiting delay in reproducing the content.

Re claim 68, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting all or part of the complementary information on a physical vector.

However, Kamiya et al disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting all or part of the complementary information on a physical vector(Multipoint delivery may be implemented either electronically over networks, such as the internet or broadcasting or communication channels, or physically through the use of storage media,col.2, lines 64-67).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in transmitting all or part of the complementary information on a physical vector,

as taught by Kamiya, for the purpose of allowing the system to tune to a specific channel to receive key.

Re claim 69, Shin et al did not explicitly disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the complementary information on-line.

However, Kamiya et al disclose wherein the transmitting further comprises transmitting the complementary information on-line (see fig.8, internet).

It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art at that time the invention was made to modify the invention of Shin in view of Malvar further in view of Ottesen in transmitting all or part of the complementary information online, as taught by Kamiya, for the purpose of allowing the system to use IP address to send data to users.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean Duclos Saintcyr whose phone number is 571-270-3224. The examiner can normally reach on M-F 7:30-5:00 PM EST.If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are not successful, his supervisor, Brian Pendleton, can be reach on 571-272-7527. The fax number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197(toll free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, dial 800-786-9199(IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/542,963

Page 25

Art Unit: 2425

/Jean Duclos Saintcyr /